CWP No. 19086 of 2017

Ravinder Singh Dhull Versus State of Haryana and others

Present:

Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate

petitioner in person.

The petition has been filed for directing the respondents to make adequate security specially in District Panchkula and surrounding areas where the Court of Learned Addl. District Judge for CBI is to pronounce judgment in a criminal trial on 25.8.2017.

The Union of India through Home Secretary is impleaded as respondent No.5. The Central Bureau of Investigation through Deputy Inspector General of Police, Sector-30, Chandigarh is impleaded as respondent No.6 and Dera Sacha Sauda, Sirsa, is impleaded as respondent No.7. Registry to make necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

We called for Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India and also Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General Haryana. On the asking of Court both the learned Senior Advocates have appeared with Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate and Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana. We have requested them to intervene in the matter forthwith. Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present. He is also requested to assist the Court.

The Central Government shall provide adequate security and force to the State Government for maintaining peace and law and order in Panchkula and its surrounding areas forthwith.

The Union of India through the Home Secretary shall provide force including paramilitary force keeping in view the gravity of the situation. The Union of India shall make its own assessment in this regard.

The State of Haryana shall also provide its own assessment and requirement

Amit Kaundal 2017.08.24 20:26 I attest to the accuracy an integrity of this document Chandioarn CWP No. 19086 of 2017

-2-

as may be required from time to time. The force shall be provided forthwith.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate, Standing counsel for CBI (respondent No.6) is present in Court. He has submitted that the witnesses in the case, the Investigating Officer of the CBI and the Public Prosecutor in the trial of the case as also in the High Court also face threats. It is submitted that some protection though has been granted but more would be required. Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Advocate General Haryana, shall forthwith make necessary arrangements for providing protection to the witnesses in the case, the Public Prosecutor in the trial of the case as also the Public Prosecutor in the High Court.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Virat Amarnath, Advocate, is present in Court. On request of the Court he has put in appearance for Dera Sacha Sauda, Sirsa, (respondent No.7). The Court expects full cooperation from respondent No.7 as well in the matter. He shall submit in the post lunch session as to what steps are being taken to diffuse the situation.

List for hearing in the post lunch session.

Copy of the order be given to Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate, Mr. Virat Amarnath, Advocate and Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, under the signatures of the Court Secretary.

(S.S. SARON) ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)

mit Kaundal 117.08.24 20:28 tagethy of this document

Ravinder Singh Dhull v. State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate petitioner in person.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with

Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Addl. AG, Haryana and

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Atul Nanda, Advocate General, Punjab with

Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Addl. AG, Punjab and

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India with Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate for UOI (respondent No.5).

Mr.Sumeet Goel, Standing Counsel for Central Bureau of Investigation (respondent No.6).

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with Mr. Virat Amarnath, Advocate for Dera Sacha Sauda, Sirsa (respondent No.7).

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India submits that in consequence of the order passed in the pre-lunch session, he has spoken to the Home Secretary, Government of India and apprised him of the order passed by this Court. It is submitted that he is waiting for response in this regard from him. Consequently, case to be taken up after one hour.

Union Territory, Chandigarh Administration through its Administrator is impleaded as respondent No.8.

Mr. Randeep Rai, Senior Advocate/Senior Standing Counsel with Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for U.T. Administration,

Chandigarh, who is present in Court, accepts notice. He shall also assist this Court.

Registry to carry out necessary corrections.

The case be placed before a Full Bench to be constituted today itself.

(S. S. Saron) Acting Chief Justice

(Avneesh Jhingan) Judge

24.08.2017 A.Kaundal

Ravinder Singh Dhull v. State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate petitioner in person.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India with Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate for UOI (respondent No.5).

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with

Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Addl. AG, Haryana and

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Atul Nanda, Advocate General, Punjab with

Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Addl. AG, Punjab and

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Sumeet Goel, Standing Counsel for Central Bureau of Investigation (respondent No.6).

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with Mr. Virat Amarnath, Advocate for Dera Sacha Sauda, Sirsa (respondent No.7).

Mr. Randeep Singh Rai, Senior Advocate with Mr. D.S. Brar, Additional Public Prosecutor for U.T. Chandigarh (respondent No.8).

Deliberations have been carried out to ensure that peace and law and order are maintained in Panchkula and its surrounding areas in view of the pronouncement of the judgment in criminal case tomorrow i.e. 25.08.2017.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India was requested to forthwith make arrangements for additional force in view of the extra ordinary and grave situation.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India has submitted that as against the requisitioned number of Central Armed Police Force Companies,

Amit Kaundal 2017.08.24 20:26 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document only part of them have been provided. On the strength of the letter received from the Government of India, it is stated that police and public order are State subject and State Governments are primarily responsible for maintenance of law and order.

It is to be noticed that in extra ordinary and grave situations, as in the present case, the Union Government is not to abdicate or avoid its responsibility. It is duty bound to give necessary forces and help the State Governments so as to maintain peace and law and order by giving necessary force wherever it is required.

In the circumstances, the Central Government is directed to provide additional forces during the intervening night today itself and before dawn tomorrow.

It is also informed that the Haryana Government has requested the Central Government for the Army to standby. Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate/Additional Solicitor General, Government of India submits that the concerned authorities of the Defence Ministry have been informed that the Army is at standby and can be requisitioned by the State Government at any time.

In case, a request is made by the Punjab Government and the Chandigarh Administration for requisition of the Army, the same shall also be provided by the Union Government.

The State of Haryana has been somewhat lackluster in controlling the crowd that has been gathering in Panchkula.

The petitioner appearing in person has submitted that persons have been gathering in groups and are disturbing the even tempo of life; besides, holding out threats.

The State Government was asked to produce the order that had been passed under Section 144 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Two orders dated 18.08.2017 and 22.08.2017 have been produced, which are reproduced as under:-

"Order Under Section 144 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

Whereas it has been made to appear to me that there is likelihood of causing tension, annoyance, obstruction or injury to persons, danger to human life and property, disturbance of public peace and tranquility in police Commissionerate Panchkula on account of appearing of Sant Gurmit Ram Rahim Singh in CBI Court in Court Complex, Sector-1, Panchkula on 25.08.2017.

Whereas it has been made to appear to me that carrying of any weapon of offence like Fire-Arms, Swords (included sheathed Lathies or any other thing which is capable of being used as a weapon of offence) which is likely to cause obstructions in the maintenance of public order and tranquility possibly resulting in riot or affray