

Copy of letter No. 9/21/06-1V (I) dated 28th August, 2006 issued by the Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana, Vigilance Department addressed to all Heads of Departments etc. etc.

.....

Subject:- Review of pending vigilance enquiries, status of investigation of cases registered in State Vigilance Bureau police stations and cases under trial in the courts.

.....

I am directed to refer to the Vigilance Department's letter No. 4/22/78-1V(I) dated 15.3.1990 on the subject noted above and to say that it has been observed that progress of the investigation of the cases registered in Police Stations of State Vigilance Bureau and cases under trial in different courts is slow. One of reasons of slow progress of investigation and failure of court cases is that sanction for prosecution of the officers/officials involved in these cases are not issued in time by the competent authorities. Sanction for prosecution is required under Section 19 of Prevention of Corruption Act and Section 197 Cr. P.C. against the accused person. The competent authority must decide the sanction for prosecution within 60 days or 90 days of the arrest of the accused as the case may be. Delay in issuing sanction for prosecution leads to delay in submission of the challan cases in the courts. This also spoils the case as PWs are either won over by the accused in due time or they lose interest in the case. This results into failure of a case which is a serious matter. It is requested that action for issuing sanction of prosecution may be taken immediately whenever a reference is made by Director, State Vigilance Bureau.

.....